## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Го:		PCT			
	t				
see form PCT/ISA/220			RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHO		
			(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHE See paragraph 2			
nternational application No. PCT/GB2004/002386	International filing date (d 04.06.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.06.2003		
nternational Patent Classification (IPC) or 602F1/13357, G09G3/34	both national classification	and IPC			
102F 1/13357, G09G3/34					
pplicant					
AMBRIDGE FLAT PROJECTION	4 DIGI,EATO ETD				
<ul><li>☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion</li><li>☑ Box No. II Priority</li></ul>					
		ard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application			
FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international pre	al Preliminary Examining	a Authority ("IPEA	will usually be considered to be a "). However, this does not apply where		
the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.	ity other than this one to 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written o	be the IPEA and pinions of this Inte	the chosen IPEA has notifed the crnational Searching Authority		
the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided about the IPEA a written replace.	66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written o ove, considered to be a v y together, where appro	pinions of this Inte written opinion of to priate, with amend	the chosen IPEA has notifed the crnational Searching Authority  he IPEA, the applicant is invited to diments, before the expiration of three ion of 22 months from the priority date,		
the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided about the IPEA a written replacements from the date of mailing	ove, considered to be a vector of the second of the second of the second of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	pinions of this Inte written opinion of to priate, with amend	he IPEA, the applicant is invited to limits, before the expiration of three		
the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided about to the IPEA a written replements from the date of mailing whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PC	ove, considered to be a vector of the second of the second of Form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	pinions of this Inte written opinion of to priate, with amend	he IPEA, the applicant is invited to limits, before the expiration of three		
the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided about to the IPEA a written replements from the date of mailing whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PC	ove, considered to be a vector of the second of the second of Form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	pinions of this Inte written opinion of to priate, with amend	he IPEA, the applicant is invited to limits, before the expiration of three		
the applicant chooses an Author International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided about to the IPEA a written replements from the date of mailing whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PC	ove, considered to be a vector of the second of the second of Form PCT/ISA/220 or CT/ISA/220.	pinions of this Inte written opinion of to priate, with amend	he IPEA, the applicant is invited to limits, before the expiration of three		

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Frank, W

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7685



10/559891

IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 6 DEC 2003
International application No.
PCT/GB2004/002386

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
:	a. type of material:					
	☐ a sequence listing ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:					
	☐ in written format					
	☐ in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
	□ contained in the international application as filed.					
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additional comments:					

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002386

Box No. II Priority					
1. ☑ The following document	☐ The following document has not been furnished:				
☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> 1 and 66.7(a)).					
☐ translation of the	earlier application who	ose priority has been cla	timed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> 1 and 66.7(b)).		
Consequently it has not nevertheless been estab	been possible to consi lished on the assumpt	der the validity of the pri ion that the relevant dat	ority claim. This opinion has e is the claimed priority date.		
2.  This opinion has been end has been found invalid (I filing date indicated above	Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1	). Thus for the purposes	ue to the fact that the priority clair s of this opinion, the international		
3. Additional observations, if ne	cessary:				
Box No. V Reasoned state industrial applicability; cital	ement under Rule 43 tions and explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard ns supporting such st	to novelty, inventive step or atement		
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	3,4 1,2,5-9			
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	3,4			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-9			
2. Citations and explanations					
see separate sheet					

## Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The present application does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(1) PCT, the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, and 5-9 lacking novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT), and the subject-matter of claims 3 and 4 lacking an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 1.0 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: US 6 448 951 B1

- 1.1 The document D1 discloses (see col. 5 to col. 7, I. 3 and Figs. 1-4) a liquid crystal (LC) display comprising a flat LC panel (3) and a backlight (4), comprising a tapered slab waveguide (18) co-extensive with the LC panel (3), a light source consisting of addressable rows of LEDs (R, G, B) arranged to inject light into an edge of the waveguide (18) so that it emerges over the face of the waveguide (18), means (7, 8) for scanning the rows of LEDs synchronously with the row addressing of the LC panel, and a sheet (19) for guiding the emerging light towards the normal of the waveguide (18), thus anticipating the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, and 5-9.
- 1.2 The additional features of claims 3 and 4 concern standard means for efficiently coupling light from a source into a waveguide for a backlight, the subject-matter of said claims is therefore an obvious modification of the disclosure of D1.
- 2. The subject-matter of the claims is obviously industrially applicable.
- 3. The relevant teaching of the documents cited in the International Search Report should be acknowledged in the description (R. 5.1(a)(ii) PCT) and the independent claim should be formulated in two-part form based on the teaching of the closest prior art (R. 6.3(b) PCT).